



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,584	01/29/2001	Ravi Subramanian	9824-061-999	2348

38881 7590 11/13/2006

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 6TH AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 09/772,584	Applicant(s) SUBRAMANIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Ilwoo Park	Art Unit 2182	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 08 December 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

**ILWOO PARK
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Ilwoo Park

Ilwoo Park 11/3/06

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): As for the item number 4 above, applicants are required to submit a concise explanation referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings of the followings in the section V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER:

A) a concise explanation of "the satellite kernel performing a discrete class of operations"; the cited portion [page 28, lines 31-34, and Fig. 2D] of the current Appeal Brief don't disclose the claimed subject matter:

B) a concise explanation of "a local controller COUPLED to the satellite kernel"; the cited portion [page 30, lines 29-32, and Fig. 2D] of the current Appeal Brief disclose the local controller IS INCLUDED INSIDE the satellite kernel:

C) a concise explanation of "a local controller PERMITS the AT LEAST ONE KERNEL to operate autonomously with respect to the other of the plurality of kernels"; the section V. disclosing 'a local controller permits the SATELLITE KERNEL to operate autonomously' confuses the satellite kernel with the at least one kernel of claim; further, the cited portion [page 30, lines 29-32, and Fig. 2D] of the current Appeal Brief don't disclose the claimed subject matter:

D) a concese explanation of "a computer readable medium"; the cited pages, line numbers, and figures of the current Appeal Brief don't disclose the claimed subject matter.